13/2013 22:30 FAX

CENTRAL FAX CEN使的01/0003

JAN 26 2009

-INNEGAN

FINNEGAN, HENDERSON, FARABOW, GARRETT & DUNNER, LLP WWW.FINNEGAN.COM

Telephone 404-653-6400

FACSIMILE TRANSMITTAL

Facsimile 404-653-6444

DATE

January 26, 2009

<u>TO</u>

Firm:

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office

Fax No.:

571-273-8300

FROM

Name:

Christopher T. Kent

Phone No.:

404-653-6559

Fax # Verified by: S. R. Horton

Pages (inci. this): 3

Confirmation Copy to Follow: No

In re Application of: Gianfranco COLOMBO et al. Application No.: 10/550,927 /0/533 927

Filed: December 2, 2005

SUBJECT For: TREAD PATTERN FOR WINTER TIRES

Confirmation No.: 8155

Attorney Docket No.: 07040.0223

MESSAGE

CERTIFICATE OF TRANSMISSION UNDER 37 C.F.R. § 1.8

I hereby certify that this correspondence is being transmitted by facsimile to the U.S.

Patent and Trademark Office on January 26, 2009.

Christopher T. Kent Reg. No. 48,216

Attached:

Response To Election of Species Requirement (2 pages).

If there is a problem with this transmission, notify fax room at (404) 653-6462 or the sender at the number above. This facsimile is intended only for the individual to whom it is addressed and may contain information that is privileged,

confidential, or exempt from disclosure under applicable law. If you have received this facsimile in error, please notify the sender immediately by telephone (collect), and return the original message by first-class mail to the above address.

3500 SUNTRUST PLAZA I 303 PEACHTREE STREET, NE | ATLANTA. GA 30308-3263 PHONE: 404.653.6400 | FAX: 404.653.6444

RECEIVED CENTRAL FAX CENTER JAN 2 6 2009

PATENT Customer No. 22,852 Attorney Docket No. 07040.0223

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

In re Application of:	>
Gianfranco COLOMBO et al.) Group Art Unit: 1791
Application No.: 10/533,927) Examiner: Steven D. Maki
Filed: December 2, 2005) Confirmation No.: 8155
For: TREAD PATTERN FOR WINTER TIRES)))
Commissioner for Patents P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, VA 22313-1450	VIA FACSIMILE
Sir	

RESPONSE TO ELECTION OF SPECIES REQUIREMENT

In an Office Action dated December 24, 2008, the Examiner required election of one of the following three species (i.e., embodiments):

Species II, shown in Fig. 1 and 4; Species III, shown in Fig. 5; and Species III, shown in Fig. 6.

Applicants provisionally elect, with traverse, Species I shown in Figs. 1 and 4. Claims 60-92 and 97-118 "read on" Figs. 1 and 4, and at least claims 60-92 are generic. See Office Action at 2. Applicants traverse the election of species requirement because the Office Action fails to show how examination of claims 93-96 in addition to claims 60-92 and 97-118 would create a serious burden. See M.P.E.P. § 803 (requiring examination of all of claims even though some of the claims are directed to independent or distinct inventions, unless serious burden is shown). Therefore, Applicants

~ 11/13/2013 22:33 FAX

U.S. Application No. 10/533,927 Inventors: Gianfranco COLOMBO et al. Attorney Docket No.: 07040.0223 Reply to Office Action issued December 24, 2008

respectfully request reconsideration and withdrawal of the election of species requirement, and examination of all of pending claims 60-118 on the merits.

If the Examiner believes that a discussion might advance prosecution of this application, the Examiner is cordially invited to call Applicants' undersigned attorney at (404) 653-6559.

If there is any fee due in connection with the filing of this Response, please charge the fee to our Deposit Account 06-0916.

Respectfully submitted,

PAGE 3/3 * RCVD AT 1/26/2009 4:16:40 PM [Eastern Standard Time] * SVR:USPTO-EFXRF-4/16 * DNIS:2738300 * CSID: * DURATION (mm-ss):05-54

BEST AVAILABLE COPY